

Contents

Executive Summary	3
Outreach	7
Consultation	7
Citizen Participation	8
Goals & Objectives	9
Allocation priorities	10
Expected Resources	11
Method of Distribution	11
Barriers to Affordable Housing	16
Other	17

Executive Summary

EVALUATION OF CURRENT NEEDS:

Housing

While developing this action plan, SEUALG staff met regularly with the region's housing and service provider agencies and city/county planning officials. Housing needs in Carbon, Emery, and San Juan Counties have stayed the same over the last several years. Housing in Grand County, specifically Moab, has changed dramatically over the last few years. The City of Moab has a severe shortage of workforce housing and affordable housing. The region's owner-occupied rehabilitation program renovates between 12 and 15 homes per year.

The identified housing needs across the entire Region continues to be:

- 1. Increase the number of affordable housing units for low to moderate income residents
- 2. Preserve existing affordable housing by rehabilitating owner occupied and rental units with an emphasis on energy efficiency
- 3. Develop "Workforce Housing," both rental and owner-occupied in Grand County
- 4. Renovate or replace existing pre-1976 and dilapidated mobile/manufactured housing units, both rental and owner-occupied
- 5. Develop housing for people with disabilities and other special needs, including supportive housing services and programs

General Community Development

As part of the process to develop the Region's capital improvements prioritization lists, SEUALG staff regularly meets with city and county entities to gather needs assessment information. While projects will be completed as funding becomes available, the overall community development needs and priorities are:

- 1. Culinary water projects
- 2. Sewer projects
- 3. Recreation projects (parks, playground equipment, skate-parks, sports facilities, ball fields/courts, bike & hiking trails, etc.)

- 4. Public safety (fire protection), emergency, and general medical care
- 5. Road improvements curb & gutter, sidewalks, etc.
- 6. Storm water projects
- 7. ADA and accessibility projects (i.e. special purpose sidewalk or scooter trails and public facilities access)
- 8. Multi-purpose community centers
- 9. Construction or rehabilitation of facilities that provide services to "limited clientele" populations
- 10. General community or master planning

Community & Human Services

The SEUALG uses ongoing communication with other regional entities along with information gathered from public surveys, public forums, hearings and the data collection and reporting systems of various social services programs to determine priorities for this category.

- 1. Develop, replace, or rehabilitate public facilities so that services that directly benefit income qualified citizens can be improved and/or increased
- 2. Increase the ability of social services programs to serve income qualified clients
- 3. Develop transportation systems that meet the needs of the Region's senior, low-income, and disabled populations

Economic Development

While very little HUD funding is used in the southeastern Utah Region for economic development activities, economic development is the primary component of the Region's ability to sustain its' residents. SEUALG staff coordinated closely with the Southeastern Utah Economic Development Region and the economic development professionals in all four counties to determine the following needs:

- 1. Develop projects that directly provide jobs and other economic benefit to income qualified Region residents
- 2. Continue to make the revolving loan fund programs available throughout the region
- 3. Expand the B.E.A.R program
- 4. Expand services offered by the Small Business Development Center and the Business and Technical Assistance Center

During the last funding year CDBG and other HUD funding has been used to improve the livability of the Region's communities, provide accessibility to public services, and improve affordable housing stocks. Often HUD funding was combined with other funding sources (OWHLF State of Utah, USDA-Rural Development, Economic Development Administration, Low Income House Tax Credits and Historical Preservation Tax Credits, TANF funding, Community Impact Board funding, Community and Social Services Block Grant funding, Weatherization and H.E.A.T funding and local and private resources, etc.) to leverage more comprehensive projects.

Housing and Homeless Projects

During the 2015-2016 funding year the following housing projects were completed:

- 1. Single family (owner occupied) rehab/reconstruction 15 owner-occupied homes
- 2. Self-help acquisition/rehab: 4 homes
- 3. Weatherization program: 40 homes

Community Development Projects

Grand County finished their ADA improvements to their Courthouse. The improvements included adding accessible sidewalks, add ADA accessible jury area, ADA compliant bathrooms etc. The Housing Authority of Carbon County also completed their project of replacing 36 furnace units to make the apartments more energy efficient.

Economic Development Activities

Almost 20 years ago the SEUALG applied for and received \$133,000 in CDBG funding to match \$400,000 of EDA funding to start a revolving loan fund. That program continues today and has developed an equity position of approximately \$906,000. The CDBG funding has been released from the HUD regulations and oversight and was added directly to the EDA fund. Although, this program no longer carries CDBG identification, activities and accomplishments are still reported in the Consolidated Plan. During the 2015 year the following economic development activities were achieved:

SEUALG made 5 loans (\$176,000) to new and existing businesses. The businesses created or retained 16 jobs in the Region. SEUALG worked closely with the SDBC in Blanding, Moab, and Price, along with the local county economic development directors and BEAR outreach specialist. The partnerships with the SDBC, county economic development directors, and BEAR program is a pivotal relationship to assist local business in retaining jobs and creating jobs for our local economy. SEUALG also manages the Business Technical Assistance Center (BTAC). With the help of County Economic Developers, SBDC, and SEUALG staff has helped add twenty new jobs for the citizens of Carbon County. The two new business are planning are adding an additional twenty jobs by the end of 2016.

Community and Human Services

SEUALG CSBG staff coordinated with Region agencies and organizations to develop programs using CSBG & TANF funding that provided direct services to income qualified households:

- 1. Provided 15,712 boxes of food through the Region's food banks
- 3. Provided 721,081 pounds of food to clients
- 4. Provided 15 Native American families with home delivered meals 3-5 times a week
- 5. Provided 608 clients tax preparation through VITA with 1,350 volunteer hours
- 6. Provided emergency rent to 45 clients.
- 7. Provided 3,948 households with utility assistance through the HEAT program
- 8. Volunteers donated 12,480 hours to help assist customers with agency programs

<u>Funding Priority Decision Making Process</u> In the southeastern Utah region, CDBG funding distribution is driven by the Consolidated Plan which identifies the issues and needs of the region's communities and includes an emphasis on benefits to citizens with low and very low incomes. This plan helps housing and human services providers to participate in the local government planning process so that communities can identify and develop needs assessment and projects that benefit low-income citizens. During the annual plan update priorities and goals are identified for HUD funding. The information provided by the plan is then used to annually update the rating and ranking policies that determine which applications receive funding.

2016-2017 Projects

Moab City: Partner with Southeast Utah Housing Authority to build 12 units of low to moderate income housing

Huntington: Build a new ADA compliant restroom

Clawson: Add to four new fire hydrants

Price City: Partner with Housing Authority of Carbon County to upgrade windows and install new

security system

SEUALG: Rehabilitate up to 15 owner occupied homes

SEUALG: Manage the CDBG program for region and update the Consolidated Plan

Outreach

Consultation

While gathering information during the 2016-2017 funding year, the SEUALG consulted with all 18 cities and the four counties. The SEUALG assisted Castle Dale, East Carbon, Green River, and Price with general planning, and Castle Dale, Green River, and East Carbon with land use ordinance planning. The SEUALG also consulted and met with the following organizations/groups and agencies on a regular basis throughout the year:

- The Area Agency on Aging
- The Housing Authority of Carbon County
- Regional Planning Office (SEUALG)
- The Emery County Housing Authority
- The Housing Authority of South Eastern Utah
- Four Corners Community Behavioral Health
- San Juan County Behavioral Health Region
- Community Services Programs
- The Grand County Homeless Coordinating Committee (and Continuum of Care Committee)
- The Carbon/Emery Homeless Coordinating Committee (and Continuum of Care Committee)
- Balance of State Homeless Coordinating Committee
- The Southeastern Utah Economic Development Region
- County Economic Development Offices
- Tri-Partite (CSBG) Advisory Board
- Public safety agencies in all four counties
- The local offices of the Department of Workforce Services

Citizen Participation

The Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments (SEUALG) held a public hearing on December 10, 2015 to solicit comments for the Community Development Block Grant Program. No one from the public attended the hearing. SEUALG will hold a 30 day comment period from February 2 to March 2. A public hearing will be held on March 2, 2016 to solicit comments from the public. The following notice was sent to the Sun Advocate (Carbon County), Emery County Progress, Times Independent (Grand County), and the San Juan Recorder:

Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments will hold a Public Hearing on March 2nd, 2016, 10:00 am located at 375 S Carbon Ave, in Price, to take comments on the 2016 Consolidated Plan which can be reviewed at seualg.utah.gov. Public comments will also be accepted from February 2nd-March 2^{nd} , 2016. To comment please contact Todd Thorne at 435-637-5444 ex 404 or by email at tthorne@seualg.utah.gov.

In compliance with the Disability Act, individuals wishing to attend this meeting and who require special accommodations should contact Todd Thorne at least three (3) working days prior to the meeting.

Attendance at formal public hearings held during the consolidated planning/CDBG application process has been nonexistent. In order to obtain as much public input as possible, SEUALG staff attended many of the public meetings and hearings held by region entities. These meetings include local planning and zoning board meetings, housing authority and community housing development organization board meetings, tri-partite board meetings (Community Services Block Grant), interagency coordinating council meetings, homeless and continuum of care meetings, economic development councils, as well as special programs such as the Regional Transportation Coordinating Council, etc. SEUALG staff presented information about the Consolidated Plan, CDBG Program, HOME (and housing rehabilitation) program, etc., and solicited input about the issues, needs, goals, and priorities to be identified in the Consolidated Plan.

Goals & Objectives

(Include narrative, and do not change the goal indicators or units of measurement)

Goal Outcome Indicator	Quantity	Unit of Measurement
Public Facility or Infrastructure Activity other than low/moderate		
income housing benefit	0	Persons Assisted
Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for low/moderate income		
housing benefit	8	Households Assisted
Public service activities other than low/moderate income housing		
benefit	0	Persons Assisted
Public service activities for low/moderate income housing benefit	0	Households Assisted
Facade treatment/Business building rehabilitation	0	Business
Brownfield acres remediated	0	Acre
Rental units constructed	12	Household Housing Unit
Rental units rehabilitated	0	Household Housing Unit
Homeowner housing added	0	Household Housing Unit
Homeowner housing rehabilitated	12	Household Housing Unit
Direct financial assistance to homebuyers	0	Households Assisted
Tenant-based rental assistance/Rapid rehousing	0	Households Assisted
Homeless person overnight shelter	0	Persons Assisted
Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	0	Beds
Homelessness prevention	5	Persons Assisted
Jobs created/retained	15	Jobs
Businesses assisted	15	Businesses Assisted
Housing for homeless added	0	Household Housing Unit
Housing for people with HIV/AIDS added	0	Household Housing Unit
HIV/AIDS housing operation	0	Household Housing Unit
Buildings demolished	0	Buildings
Housing code enforcement/Foreclosed property care	0	Household Housing Unit
Other	0	Other

One year goals for the number households supported through	
Rental assistance	40
The production of new units	12
Rehab of existing units	15
Acquisition of existing units	0
Total	67

One year goals for the number of households to be supported:				
Homeless	5			
Non-homeless	1000			
Special Needs	5			
Total	1010			

Allocation priorities

CDBG is the only HUD program administered by SEUALG. Because there are no concentrations of poverty, deteriorated neighborhoods within the Region, and all but 2 of the communities in this region can be identified as disadvantaged, SEUALG has not developed a geographic distribution or allocation plan for the CDBG funding.

Rather, based on the needs identified by the annual updates to this Consolidated Plan and the capital improvements planning process SEUALG Rating and Ranking Committee has determined that CDBG funding will be targeted to projects according to the following priorities:

1. HOUSING:

- a. New permanent low income housing units
- b. Rehabilitation of existing permanent housing units
- c. Emergency shelter units
- d. New supportive and ADA adaptive units

2. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT:

- a. Culinary water projects
- b. Sewer projects
- c. Recreation projects
- d. ADA Access
- e. Public safety
- f. Storm drainage projects
- g. Facilities that provide services to income qualified clients
- h. Multi-purpose community centers
- i. Transportation
- j. Public facilities (sidewalk/curb/gutter)
- k. General community, facility, or master planning

3. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT:

a. Projects that provide permanent jobs to low and very low income residents

When there is competition for funds within a particular category, the level of low-income benefit drives the decision of which project is funded.

Expected Resources

Annual Allocation	\$480,000
Program Income	\$0
Prior Years	
Resources	\$0
Total	\$480,000

The CDBG money that SEUALG receives fluctuates depending on the year. Typically SEUALG receives between \$450,000 and \$500,000 each year. SEUALG will leverage funds whenever possible. Permanent Community Impact Board Fund, Division of Environmental Quality, and Division of Water Quality are partnered with CDBG on some community development projects. Housing projects that are completed in the Region often times utilize Olene Walker Trust Fund, or USDA Rural Development. SEUALG works closely with projects throughout the Region to identify other sources that maybe utilized to make the most out of the limited resources that are available.

Method of Distribution

SEUALG determines funding criteria only for the CDBG program. The SEUALG Rating and Ranking committee uses the information provided by the Consolidated Planning and Annual Plan Update process to determine the region's rating and ranking policies. The actual rating and ranking policies are finalized in August of each year. Based on priorities identified in the 2016 Consolidated Plan, projects will be awarded funding based on the amount of direct benefit to income qualified residents, how mature the project is, and the following priorities:

1. HOUSING AND HOMELESS PROJECTS

Housing and homeless projects that increase the number of units or rehabilitate the existing housing/units for income qualified households and homeless individuals and families.

2. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

Community development projects that provide general community development benefit to income qualified communities or neighborhoods will be rated and ranked under the following priorities:

- A. Culinary water projects
- **B. Public Safety Facilities**
- C. Recreation projects (parks & park improvements, playground equipment, sports centers/skate parks/ball courts, etc.

- D. General ADA compliance projects (curb cuts, ramping government buildings, parking lot striping, park restroom adaptations, etc.); multi-purpose community center projects (not including sports facilities)
- G. Basic public infrastructure such as sidewalk curb & gutter, etc.
- H. General planning for communities such as water system master planning, community master planning, capital facilities master planning, etc.

The design and engineering services needed for CDBG eligible construction projects will be considered for funding under the actual construction or project category.

3. COMMUNITY AND HUMAN SERVICES FACILITIES

Community facilities projects that increase or improve services for food banks, daycare centers, senior centers, medical clinics, improved access, beyond basic ADA compliance, for people with disabilities, etc. Applicants will be required to document how the project provides or improves access to a direct benefit or service for income qualified clients rather than primarily providing benefit to the agency or facility itself.

4. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

Economic development projects that directly provide economic development or job creation benefit to income eligible residents. Must follow the guidelines in Chapter 6 of the CDBG application policies and procedures manual

In the past, applications for the southeastern Utah housing rehabilitation programs funded with HOME and CDBG money have not been at a level that a wait list needed to be developed, or that the region's prioritization system (disabled, elderly, children under 6 yrs. of age, etc.) be implemented to determine who receives funding and when. Likewise, restrictions on how many housing rehabilitation applications can or will be accepted from any community have not been necessary. It is not anticipated that neither wait listing nor priority restrictions will become necessary during the period covered by this Consolidated Plan Update.

SOUTHEASTERN UTAH ASSOCIATION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

CDBG RATING AND RANKING POLICIES

PROGRAM YEAR 2016

ALLOCATIONS POLICIES—the following set-asides are established for the 2016 funding year:

- 1. \$174,000 will be set-aside to fund the following Region-wide housing rehabilitation programs operated by the Southeastern Utah Association of local Governments: 1. \$134,000 to provide repairs to the homes of residents throughout the Region, either as a stand-alone project or in coordination with funds from the Olene Walker Loan Fund, Rural Development, or other sources. 2: \$40,000 for the operation of the Region's housing rehabilitation programs funded by CDBG, by providing loan underwriting services, development of scopes of work, contractor supervision, and housing rehabilitation-repair technical assistance directly to clients and to other entities or agencies providing services to low income persons. 3: Operate the lead-based paint evaluation program for the Region's housing rehabilitation activities, and other agencies that serve low-income clients with housing and rehabilitation services
- 2. \$50,000 will be set-aside to fund the Region-wide CDBG administration and planning activities operated by the Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments: 1. Update of the Region's required Consolidated Plan. 2. Coordinate Consolidated Planning activities and efforts with the Region's economic development practitioners, chambers of commerce, travel councils, and the Southeastern Utah Economic Development Region board and CEDS (Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy) Committee; 3. Coordinate Consolidated Planning activities and efforts with the Region's homeless coordinating committees, agencies providing services to person with disabilities, Region housing authorities, and other non-profit and special service agencies that serve low-income clients. 4. Coordinate Consolidated activities with the Region's Rural Transportation Planning Organization for the development and implementation of a mobility management system to provide access and mobility services to senior citizens, persons with disabilities, and low-income workers. 5. Provide technical assistance to the Region's CDBG grantees to ensure the successful completion of their applications. 6. Provide technical assistance to the Region's homeless and affordable housing committees, and other agencies that serve low-income residents, for program development and funding opportunities.
- 3. In compliance with the policies of the State of Utah CDBG program, and to be eligible for funding, all grantees or sub grantees must have drawn down 50% of any prior year's CDBG funding prior to the Regional Review Committee's (RRC) rating and ranking meeting.
- 4. State of Utah has established the minimum amount of funding of \$30,000 per project and the maximum amount is limited by the annual allocation amount.
- 5. Applicants must provide written documentation of the availability and status of all other proposed funding at the time the application is submitted, including all sources of funding which are considered local contributions toward the project and its administration.
- 6. The Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments (SEUALG) will provide assistance with the completion of the application. All applications for CDBG funds will be made and

processed in accordance with the State of Utah and Federal regulations.

- 7. Applicants <u>MUST ATTEND ONE</u> of the "How to Apply Workshops". Applicants that do not attend will not be considered for funding.
- 8. All applications will be scored by SEUALG staff based on the rating and ranking approved by the Regional Review Committee (RCC). SEUALG staff will make recommendations to the RRC on each application and then present the applications to the RRC for final approval.
- 9. The SEUALG Rating and Ranking Committee consist of eight elected officials. One commissioner and mayor from each County. Board members sit on the board until another board person is appointed. The Chairman of the board term limit is 2 years.
 - 1. Carbon County- Jake Mellor, Commissioner
 - 2. Price City- Joe Piccolo, Mayor
 - 3. Emery County- Ethan Migliori, Commissioner
 - 4. Huntington City-Hillary Gordon, Mayor
 - 5. Grand County-Lynn Jackson, Commissioner
 - 6. Moab City- Dave Sakrison, Mayor
 - 7. San Juan County- Bruce Adams, Commissioner
 - 8. Blanding City- Calvin Balch, Mayor
- 10. Projects must be consistent with the Region's Consolidated Plan.
- 11. Public service providers, traditionally non-profit organizations, are allowed to apply for CDBG funds for capital improvements, and major equipment purchases. Examples are delivery trucks, construction, remodeling, and facility expansion. State of Utah policy prohibits the use of CDBG funds for operating and maintenance expenses. This includes paying administrative costs, salaries, etc. No more than 15% of the state's yearly allocation of funds may be expended for public service activities.
- 12. Applications on behalf of sub recipients (i.e. special service Regions, non-profits organizations, etc.) are allowed. The applicant city or county must understand that even if they name the sub recipient as project manager the city or county is still responsible for the project's viability and program compliance. A subcontractor's agreement between the applicant entity and the sub recipient must accompany the application. A letter from the governing board of the sub recipient requesting the sponsorship of the project must accompany the application. The letter must be signed by the board person.
- 13. Project maturity will be considered in determining the awarding of funds for the funding cycle, i.e. project can be completed within 18 months, leveraged funds are in place, detailed scope of work is developed, engineer's cost estimate in place etc.
- 14. When an applicant submits more than one application, only the highest ranked application will be considered for funding unless all other projects have been funded.

- 15. Emergency projects may be considered by the RRC at any time during the year. Projects that are considered for emergency CDBG funding must still meet a national objective and regional goals set by the RRC. Projects may be considered an emergency if the following apply:
 - ❖ Funding through a normal CDBG funding cycle would create and unreasonable health and or safety risk to people or property.

If an applicant deems it necessary to apply for emergency funding, they must contact the Southeastern Utah Association of Local Governments promptly to discuss the details of the project and the state required application procedure and the RRC criteria. Emergency funds are limited on a statewide basis and will need approval from the State CDBG Policy Board. The amount of emergency funds awarded will be subtracted from the top of Region's next yearly allocation.

- 16. In the event of a tie the following policies will be followed in order from 1 to 5:
 - 1. The project that has the highest number of LMI persons benefitting.
 - 2. The project with the most local funds leveraged
 - 3. The project with the most other leveraged funds
 - 4. The project with the most points in the overall impact category
 - 5. If the tie remains unbroken after the above tie breakers the members of the RRC will vote and the project that receives the majority vote will be ranked higher.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

Southeastern Utah region is so sparsely populated (3.23 people per square mile) that extraordinary land use, zoning, and construction requirements sometimes prohibit the development of affordable housing. Communities in southeastern Utah have historically used a "pay as you go" system of financing infrastructure expansion (sewer, water, electric lines, natural gas lines, streets, and sidewalks/curb/gutter). In order to mitigate the costs of infrastructure development, new housing and commercial development is usually kept close to existing cities and towns. Because there is limited public transportation available, it's vital that affordable housing be developed close to jobs, services, and schools. The result is that affordable housing projects must compete against well financed, private development for the same scarce land and public infrastructure access or be built several miles outside of town on large lot sizes because of zoning restrictions. These basic realities have the effect of increasing costs for development in general and affordable housing in particular.

Because of growth/development pressures associated with the tourism industry, some communities in southeastern Utah have high infrastructure impact fees. Because of the scarce availability of land in the areas where growth is/has occurred, land costs also often present a barrier to affordable housing. Several communities in southeastern Utah are trying to address some of these issues by adopting zoning ordinances that encourage the development of affordable housing, i.e., allowing for accessory dwellings, offering high-density bonuses for affordable housing, and relaxing some development requirements (sidewalk parkways, open areas, and landscaping regulations, for instance)

Generally, the primary obstacle to the availability of affordable housing in southeastern Utah is the lower wages and incomes within the Region. Because tourism is a primary component of the Region's economy and wages in this industry are significantly below the state average, lower income workers often find it difficult to obtain decent, affordable housing.

Because of stagnant population growth, developers have not found it profitable to invest in the Region. Except for multi-family type housing units developed as second homes or as investment properties which are rented for tourist room sales and vacation housing, almost all of the housing built in the last ten years has been single family units, constructed one unit at a time by the owner/occupant. A significant portion of those single family units were manufactured homes which do not retain their value, are difficult to finance in the current market, and usually have higher maintenance and energy costs.

Finally, because much of the single family housing in the region (including units available for rent) is well over 40 years old, poor housing conditions is a major hurdle to affordable housing. Housing authorities in southeastern Utah report that often voucher clients end up turning their vouchers in because they cannot find a housing unit that meets the minimum habitability standards at the fair market rate.

Other

Community Assessment

,	
1. Community	
Mark only one oval.	
Carbon County	
Blanding	
Castle Dale	
Castle Valley	
Clawson	
Cleveland	
East Carbon	
Elmo	
Emery County	
Emery Town	
Ferron	
Grand County	
Green River	
Helper	
Huntington	
Moab	
Monticello	
Orangeville	
Price	
San Juan County	
Scofield	
Wellington	

2. Sew	er Syste	m							
Mark c	only one	oval.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Low									High
3. Culi	nary Wa	ter Stor	age						
Mark c	nly one	oval.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Low									High
4. Culi	nary Wa	ter Sour	ce						
Mark c	nly one	oval.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Low									High
5. Culi	nary Wa	ter distr	ibution :	System					
Mark c	nly one	oval.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Low									High
6. Hea	lth Care								
Mark c	nly one	oval.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Low									High
7. Roa	ds								
Mark o	nly one	oval.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Low									High

8. Roa	d Mainte	enance										
Mark c	nly one	oval.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Low									High			
9. Recreation Facilities												
Mark c	only one	oval.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Low									High			
10. Fir	e Depar	tment Fa	acilities									
Mark c	only one	oval.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Low									High			
11. Fir	e Depar	tment E	quipmer	nt								
Mark c	only one	oval.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Low									High			
12. Pu	blic Safe	ty Facili	ties									
Mark c	nly one	oval.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Low									High			
13. Ho	using fo	r Low to	Modera	ate Incor	ne							
Mark o	nly one	oval.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Low									High			

14. Ho	ousing fo	r Area V	Vorkford	e					
Mark	only one	oval.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Low									High
15. B	arriers to	o Afforda	able Hou	ısing					
16. Se	rvices to	assist F	lomeless	s Individ	uals				
Mark	only one	oval.							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Low									High
17. Ar	e there i	unmet n	eeds for	childcar	e in the	commu	nity		
Mark	only one	oval.							
Yes									
No									
18. W	hat do y	ou feel i	s the pri	mary nu	trition is	sues in y	our con	nmunity	
Check	all that a	apply.							
High o	ost heal	thy food	ls						
Not e	nough in	come to	cover fo	ood cost					
Lack c	of transp	ortation	to groce	ery store					
lack o	f knowle	dge on l	healthy f	ood cho	ices				
lack o	f knowle	dge on	available	nutritio	n progra	ams (WI	C, foodst	amps e	tc)
Food	resource	s not av	ailable (senior m	ieals, me	eals on w	heels, fo	ood pan	try

19. What do you feel are the primary youth issues in your community? Check maximum of 3
Check all that apply.
Teen pregnancy
Gang membership
Dropping out of school
Bullying
Learning disabilities
Emotional or behavioral problems
Weight/eating habits
Not much to do away from school
lack of role models
Adults not in touch with needs of youth
Stress
Depression
Alcohol/Drug use by youth
Alcohol/drug use in the family
Lack of opportunities to develop skills needs as adult
Violence
Powered by
Google Forms

Community Assessment Results

	_														
	Score	Sewer System	Culinary Water Storage	Culinary Water Source	Culinary Water distribution System	Health Care	Roads	Road Maintenance	Recreation Facilities	Fire Department Facilities	Fire Department Equipment	Public Safety Facilities	Housing for Low to Moderate Income	Housing for Area Workforce	Services to assist Ameless Individuals
	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	4	1	0
	3	U	U	U	U	1	U	U	1	U	U	1	2	1	2
	4	2	1	1	2	2	4	2	3	O	1	1	1	1	1
	5	1	2	1	1	3	2	0	2	0	3	2	1	1	3
	6	2	0	O	1	O	0	2	3	0	1	2	3	1	0
	7	3	1	1	1	2	3	4	4	6	3	3	3	5	O
	8	4	6	7	6	1	7	6	2	6	4	4	0	2	1
	9	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	O	0
	10	O	1	0	0	0	O	0	O	O	O	0	O	O	0
Average		5.1	1.9	2.8	2.8	0.9	1.6	3.8	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.1	1.2	1.5	0

Ra	ting and Ranking							Score
1	Capacity to Carry Out Grant: Grantee's Past History in Administering CDBG Grants.	Excellent: 4 points	Very Good: 3 points	Good: 2 points	Average: 1 point			
2	Project Maturity: Detailed Engineer estimate, project manager, and detailed scope of work, secured funding, is able to complete in 18 months. Possible 5 points	Architect/ Engineer: 1 point	Designated Project Manager: 1 point	Well Defined Scope: 1 point	Funding in place: 2 points			
3	Infrastructure Development/Improvements Expansion of water/sewer or other community infrastructure such as fire stations, parks, community centers, etc.	Water: 6 points	Sewer: 5 points	Public Safety/Health: 4 points	Other Public Facilities: 3 points	Street/Sidewalks: 2 points	Recreation Facilities/ Planning: 1 point	
4	Improvement of LMI housing units: Improvement of existing housing stock with rehabilitation, or new units constructed.	> 15 units 25 points	11-14 points 20 points	5-9 units 15 points	1-4 units 10 points			
5	Affordable Housing Plan: City or County as adopted an Affordable Housing plan and the project implements specific items in plan.	Yes 5 points	No 0 points					
6	Extent of Poverty: If applicant properly documents the Percentage of Low income (50%) and Very Low income (30%) persons benefitting from the project, additional points will be given based on the following. % of total population of jurisdiction or project area who are low or very low income.	20 % or more 5 points	15%-19% 4 points	10%-14% 3 points				
7	Housing Projects: Permanent supportive housing i.e. housing for persons with mental/physical disabilities. Transitional Housing i.e. Development of new or rehab of existing units. Emergency Shelter i.e. Development of new or rehab of existing emergency homeless and spouse abuse shelter.	Housing Project in area identified as having affordable housing issues 12 points	Single Family or Multi Family Housing 10 points	Permanent Supportive Housing 8 points	Transitional Housing Projects 6 points	Emergency Shelter Projects 4 points		

8	LMI population: Percent of residents considered LMI.	> 76 % 4 points	66%-75% 3 points	56%-65% 2 points	51%-55% 1 point			
9	Project's overall impact for Region	Project benefits are Region wide: 8 points	Project benefits are County wide: 6 points	Project benefits are community wide: 4 points	Project benefits a single neighborhood or a targeted population: 2 points			
10	Local Funds : Percentage of the total project that the county/city is contributing.	> 50 % 10 points	31%-49% 8 points	21%-30% 6 points	11%-20% 4 points	1%-10% 2 points		
11	Leveraged Funds: Percentage of "other" non-CDBG funds invested in total project	> 50 % 10 points	31%-49% 8 points	21%-30% 6 points	11%-20% 4 points	1%-10% 2 points		
12	Consolidate Plan: County/City has submitted requested information for the Consolidated Plan	Yes 5 points	No 0 points					
13	Applicant project was last funded.	Received a grant in the last funding cycle: 0 points	1 prior funding cycle: 2 points	2 prior funding cycles: 3 points	3 prior funding cycles: 4 points	4 or more funding cycles: 5 points		
14	Jurisdiction Property Tax Rate: The communities that maintain an already high tax burden, as compared to the tax ceiling set by set law, will be given higher points in this category.	> 50 % 5 points	40% - 49% 4 points	30%-39% 3 points	20%-29% 2 points	10%- 19% 1 points	<10% 0 points	